

Angel Theory's M-Systems

A Macroeconomic Theory of Everything




By Nick Ray Ball 8th December 2017

PRESENTING:

Chapter 1 Part 5: New Anti-Macroeconomics

S-World New Anti-Macroeconomics

Inspired by Sienna Skye



In 3,300 Words

Version 6.75-5r

2 10th Dec 2017

What we are doing in this part of the first chapter is putting ideas out there, for the creation of a full chapter on macroeconomics further down the road, created in conjunction with the persons we present in this chapter.

We do not know all the answers and of course, we need to do a gazillion simulations, however, this is the start of a bigger conversation about the future of money...

With S-Cubits, we would only create as many as we have securities for, be that gold, stocks, bitcoin, minerals, resources, mines and quarries.

Then fix 1 S-Cubit as say \$ 1 million, (but we must first choose a constant such as 'C' or Planks Constant and cube up (multiply by 8) to the closest figure to \$ 1 million.) Let's say it ended up being \$ 750,000. And so, 1 S-Cubit = \$750,000 of gold, stocks, bitcoin, minerals, resources, mines and quarries.

If someone wants to buy 1 S-Cubit, they will pay \$750,000 of gold, stocks, bitcoin, minerals, resources, mines and quarries to The Sienna Foundation (or others). They would receive their \$750,000 which could be redeemed at any S-World company for a discount, and a big one, but it would vary from company to company, so for instance renting a 3rd party villa via Villa Secrets would see maybe a 5% discount, as there is only 10 to 15% as gross profit. But booking a villa in a grand network could see discounts of up to 80%, and many companies would discount by 50%.

In terms of companies, part of their POP investment and GGW string contributions would be used to buy resources, and I don't see why the companies can't receive the value of the resource in S-Cubits. The point of this exercise is to gain resources so the currency is backed by the resources, and so with the creation of more resources from the company's POP investment and GGW string contributions, we create more S-Cubits which can go to the contributing company.

That's awesome...

So the only way a new S-Cubit is created is by investors or companies buying resources and giving them to S-World.org (The Sienna Foundation) which holds the assets and seeks to get more value out of them and increase their value. (For instance, buying a granite quarry in a Grand Network location before the location is known to the public.)

3 This creates the direct opposite of a 'FIAT' currency.

Of course one needs to appreciate that if there is a global downturn, the value of some of the portfolio may decrease, so we need to do as much as possible to secure assets to which we can increase their value today or assets that will increase in value if there was a 'global FIAT currency crash' such as Gold, and I would say Bitcoin, as whilst bitcoin is technically a 'FIAT' currency as it has been cleverly modelled like a gold mine that will end production in I think 2040, then this currency has some of the characteristics of gold, and is not going to be lost if the banks fail. Albeit I do worry about the mother of all hacks destroying it.

This really is a significant paper it's almost 'Eureka' as if the currency takes off and people across the globe start buying resources, we can grab all the land and building supplies we need for Grand Networks,

Should we make target company purchases, something that can be bought like a resource? If we find an Aluminium Widows company in Malawi, if that is bought and converted to an S-World company + more investment for a better quality of window, can that say a \$3,000,000 investment be worth S-Cubits? Hmmm I don't think so, as there is no transfer as the investor owns the company and the cubits, it would have to be an investment into buying the company and giving it to S-World in exchange for its value in S-Cubits

By S-World companies offering their services from Mansions to Holidays to Aluminium Windows for 50% off they are effectively lowering the value of the currency, so whilst it retains its value and indeed should increase its asset value for each S-Cubit made, which would traditionally increase the strength of a currency, by discounting the things that S-Cubits can buy it keeps a strong gold standard currency affordable. The best of both worlds.

Redeeming S-Cubits for money, I don't think we can do this as if resources are purchased from such a granite quarry it's not convenient to sell instead S-Cubits can only be used to purchase S-World goods or services, but as time passes it's quite possible discounts will become far more widely delivered as more S-World companies are in business, and maybe discount will get more and more, as the value of the overall assets of S-World.org increase, this should see a correlating lowering of discount.

Note that I don't want inflation, as it messes up the original POP Points, so actually, POP points should be S-Cubits, not dollars.

lastly, I think I will no longer seek to fix the S-Cubits to a universal constant. I don't think it has a greater value than the simplicity of starting a \$1cent.

11th December 2017

It won't work as above but it can work like this:

1. Create Villa Secrets LA and surrounds and target the creation of 512 separate companies that will in 2020 make... hmmm... \$10 million in POP investment (it's more but this is fine to work from.)
2. Have first a plan and have paid for significant land for a Grand Network Baby POP extravaganza and can sell first phase property off-plan.
3. Villa Secrets LA POP invests in 5 properties for \$ 10 million, which after 16 years they can cash out and sell. And most likely for a price that was better than 5% per year, which is a good investment, and maybe they would make much more.
Remember POP investment is 'Give Half Back' it is primarily a charitable purpose tax, but we find a way to make it a good return, nonetheless.
4. But first, we set a date, say 24th Nov 2020, and on that date, the Villa Secrets agencies (now many) will be allowed to sell the first phase of real estate in the Grand Network, and we shall, for now, call 'Lake Malawi,'
5. The price for the real estate is double its cost including the 25% add-on for Electric Cars, and various commissions. So, if a house cost \$400,000 to build then we add 25% being \$100,000 making \$500,000 and doubling to \$ 1 million. And that's the first phase off-plan price,
6. However, we will accept \$ 1 million in cash or \$500,000 in S-Cubits. So, the buyers will go and buy \$500,000 in cubits of which S-World.org buys gold and resources etc.
7. Maybe we should sell 16-year leases on the houses, and that would be for \$500,000 in S-Cubits

Hmmm, I'm not thinking this through well at all, but I think there is a system to be found.

Later that day.

1. S-Cubits in their initial form cannot be bought, they must be earned or maybe they can become a mixed S-World Grand Network bond, with a variety of different investments from land to Aluminium Windows companies machinery and premises, and as these commodities/items increased in price which they certainly will the value of the hedge goes up and so goes the value of the S-Cubit, in this case, the S-Cubit is not currency, it is money but it is also a bond S-World-Bonds we shall call them and they will be pegged to the value of the assets/items in the future.

Redeeming these bonds will likely be a minimum of 4 years and could be a lot more. And may have to pay POP on exception returns.

But this is a smaller point, for now, that is not complete, what is special is that

2. S-Cubits in their initial form cannot be bought, they must be earned.
In general, as much as possible we wish to buy and sell in S-Cubits, this has been the same since 2012 and is an important part of the 'R' in the RES equation, back to that soon. But we can see this play out in <http://americanbutterfly.org/pt1/the-theory-of-every->

- a. If, for instance, a staff member is paid it will often be 50% in basic and paid in their local currency and +/- 50% (or more, and especially for big earners) in Network Credits, S-Cubits.
- b. Half of the cubits will be non-redeemable and become (in a way) the staff's savings, which can be redeemed for land, commodities, goods or services in the case of a severe economic crash in their host country. For instance, the 'FIAT' currency crash in Tim Delmastro's documentary 'End of the Road, How Money Became Worthless'; Delmastro
- c. However, whilst these S-Cubits cannot be exchanged for land, commodities, goods and services unless of economic strife they can be converted into exchangeable currency S-Cubits, which we can, for now, call free S-Cubits.
- d. But, to sell \$50,000 (half an S-Cubit) in not free S-Cubits, to convert for general sale 50% of the cubit must be used to pay the gold standard.
- e. The buyer pays \$50,000 (half an S-Cubit) of which \$25,000 (quarter an S-Cubit) is used to invest into S-World.org (The Sienna Foundation) in buying land, industry and commodities, all other the world, items that if Grand Networks are built or planned near such land or commodities will rise dramatically. And of course, it makes sense to buy most in the best locations.
- f. However, I can see that land that we would need to buy in say 'Lake Malawi,' would be owned by hundreds of different individuals. I read somewhere may have been Schiff that most of (and the best of) Africa's land (and resources) is owned by previous Europeans.
If this is so, the target should be to buy that land, and their resources before they get wind of any grand network as the cost would go up 10-fold, especially if there was a deal with the government to rezone farmland. (The book Negation Genus presents this point well but on a smaller scale)
- g. This said if instead of buying in Malawi, we bought in all 7 African locations and as many again in India, Vietnam and other Asian and maybe South and Central American countries, oh and of course Greece, our potential future capital, as it's so well places and has such low population, oh and of course it's very beautiful and needs some good luck for a change.
- h. Anyway... By spreading out the investment to I guess 16 different potential countries, it will give us much more negotiating power to buy the land and industry needed for A Grand Network.
- i. Going back to point 'e'
The buyer pays \$50,000 (half an S-Cubit) of which \$25,000 (quarter an S-Cubit) is used to invest in S-World.org (The Sienna Foundation) in buying land, industry and commodities,
Currently, that's only half a gold standard, sure better than any other alternative by actual infinity as there is no gold standard currency.

However, as the S-World network grows, and Grand Networks are created the value of the land and industry and resource will steeply increase as said by a factor of 10 or more. And so even if the Cubit was exchanged for land and industry that is

not a grand network, I think the idea in the future that there could be one would be enough to increase the land and industry. But even if it does not as a whole with say 25% of lands etc. being in Grand n Networks and increasing by 10 then that pushes the overall value of all the land etc, relative to the number of people who have 'free cubits' up so that if one wished to exchange all the 'free cubits' at face value for all the land etc. then one would end up with more than face value (or initial value)

And further, as time goes by and the rest of the 75% of land etc. all end up as parts of Grand Networks the currency will be worth 10 times what the initial deposit was (which in this case in the R in the Res Equation).

- j. As such the S-Cubit becomes a very strong gold standard currency, and can be traded on the open market, when they are 'free s-cubits,' and so the currency is pegged to the success of grand networks. And if we can make S-Cubits valuable we will raise a lot of money for buying land etc.
- k. Thought can we just allow people to buy Cubits for \$50,000 and only receive \$25,000 under the same system as above, maybe this is more for the bond idea
- 3. Now I want to go and start from a company perspective.
 - a. One of the first points is that most companies will be buying from other companies via comment of the TBS and M-Systems, so if a property developer was building in Lake Malawi and there was TWF (The Window Factory) unless that was a big problem the property developer must buy Aluminium Windows from TWF, who's prices are mostly chosen by the TBS (Total Business Systems).
 - b. In this case, the property developer that we will call S-World Builds must pay TWF, however, as this is a big, big and regular customer it's only fair they should get a better price than the public price, TWF is suggested to make 25% profit but can put a mark-up of 25% for non-S-World companies and give a 10% discount to TWF, which equals close to a 35% discount.

But instead of doing it as above, there is a 35% discount for anyone who pays in S-Cubits.

- c. We are looking for 90% or more of all company's money to be paid to other S-World companies, so 9 times out of 10 a payment in S-Cubits is just the same as cash but at a discount.

And because of this, we can pay any company mostly in S-Cubits. (And staff 50%)

- d. But (and were making it up and we go) each S-Cubit needs to have had its 'IV' initial value exchanged for land etc with S-World.org
So how will that work?
- e. Let's start with POP and GGW String income...#
- f. POP is 50% of a company's profit after it's done very well,
For small companies, it's an investment for large it is pure 'Give Half Back'
- g. Let's think about the late 'Auditions Idea 1' 'The TBS' and let us say in 2024 the TBS made \$ 10 billion in profit

- i. 50% (\$5 billion) will be 'Give Half Back'
- ii. 25% (2.5 billion) will be the investors
- iii. 25% (2.5 billion) will be S-Cubits
 - 1. Of which 50% (makes 12.5% (\$1.25 billion) must be invested in S-World.org in land, gold etc...
 - 2. And the other 50% (\$1.25 billion) should be as profit share for S-World UCS CC (divided between staff relative to their S-World UCS CC scores)

h. Actually no, this staff share should be before profit.

- i. 50% (\$5 billion) will be 'Give Half Back'
- ii. 25% (2.5 billion) will be the investors
- iii. 25% (2.5 billion) will be S-Cubits
 - 1. Of which all must be invested in S-World.org in land, gold etc...
But these S-Cubits are not free, they cannot trade them, they must just bank them and can only be exchanged in the case of severe economic trouble in the host country

So we are buying a lot of land, and gold. which makes the assets worth more than the initial value, albeit there is a liability in that in economic trouble companies in troubled economies can exchange their cubits.

This becomes a great bank and plan b for such companies, but most importantly it increases the spending of S-World.org on land etc, so

- 2. And the other 50% (\$1.25 billion) should be as profit share for S-World UCS CC (divided between staff relative to their S-World UCS CC scores)

- 4. Now we need to think about the '50% Give Half Back.' Of \$ 5 billion,
 - a. 50% special projects and abject Grand Networks (includes MARS Resort 1)
Chosen by the board or other intercompany method, (i.e., staff and clients may choose))
 - b. 12.5% The most needed philanthropic special projects
 - c. 12.5% The most needed ecological special projects
 - d. 25% in buying S-Cubits fixed to land and resources etc in locations in abject poverty or economic distress.
 - i. As this is give-half-back charitable funding there is no POP investment, it's just Give Half Back.' And with 25% of it going to buying land etc, without any return, that's just increasing the relative value of every S-Cubit and getting more and more funds for buying land etc...

- 5. Next, I need to consider the journey of the small business, but not at this point

Later That Evening

1. LA Villa Secrets makes \$10,000,000 in POP Investment, this currency is given to S-World.org which buys a mixed portfolio of land, farms, industry, mines, quarries and on.
2. The LA Villa Secrets own the cubits but can tell 16 years.
3. If all California networks in 2024 make 500 million, and assets of S-World Bonds keep increasing

GGW String 25% off a small TBS company that makes \$50,000 in POP, then multiply by 64 \$1.28million and then network up again (x8) \$6,42million. Then in all of California, we have room for 16

1. 25% of this goes to “Auditions 1’ S-World TBS™ for programming and web development and business and financial software development.
2. 25% of this goes to the non-profit S-World.org which buys land, industry, mines and any material one needs in construction, from slate to iron.
 - a. Increasing the value of the cubit S-Cubits
 - b. by buying land and industry in 64 locations where the next Grand Network will be launched.
 - c. When not chosen as a Grand Network, one becomes a Virtual network, which is better than nothing so all investment is active

If a Grand Network is made the price of land and industry in such locations will increase in value for 10 years to about 10 times its initial cost.


3. 12.5% of this goes to Villa Secrets, South Africa to create a franchising office and school to answer complaints very well, seek other opportunities, and will probably be the call centre and Live Chat office, plus blogs and articles aplenty made when there are no clients
4. 12.5% of this goes to S-World SGN
5. 6.26% of this goes to S-World TBS
6. 6.26% of this goes to S-World VBS
7. 6.26% of this goes to S-World VSN
8. 6.26% of this goes to S-Wold UCS

12th December 2017

Extract from Angel Theory Chapter 1. Part 4 - Angel POP & Angelverses - 6.75-4r (08th Dec 2017)

1. Complex Angel POP & POP Dimensions

Within M-Systems we work in cubic dimensions, which are very simple to understand as they are cubed, which is simply a multiplication of an existing dimension by 8 as seen below. So, we fit 8 cubes within a 2nd dimension 8-cube, and 8-second dimensional cubes make a 3rd dimensional 64-cube, and the 4th dimension is the 512-cube and so on.



Let's see this in practice, starting at 1 US Cent...

\$0.01 > \$0.08 > \$0.64 > \$5.12 > \$40.96

>

\$327.68	D1	Abject Poverty Company (Can be a single person)
\$2,621.44	D2	Relative Poverty Company
\$20,971.52	D3	Small Company
\$167,772.16	D4	Standard Company (Primary Network in Abject Location)
\$1,342,177.28	D5	1st Tear Network (Primary Network in Relative Location)
\$10,737,418.24	D6	2nd Tear Network (Primary Network in Prime Location)
\$85,899,345.92	D7	Grand Network (Small Resort Development)
\$687,194,767.36	D8	Super Grand Network (Large Resort Development)
\$5,497,558,138.88	D9	8 Continental Cubes / BabyPOP Network (16 Resort Devs)
\$43,980,465,111.04	D10	Once D9 is full it opens D10
\$351,843,720,888.32	D11	Angel City 2 Target (2024) (Only opens if there is no D1)
\$2,814,749,767,106.56	D12	Angel City 3 Target (2032) (Only opens if there is no D2)
\$22,517,998,136,852.50	D13	Angel City 4 Target (2048)
\$180,143,985,094,820.00	D14	Angel City 5 POP Target (2080)
\$1,441,151,880,758,560.00	D14	Angel City 5 Turnover Target (2080)

NEW VERSION

\$0.01 > \$0.08 > \$0.64 > \$5.12 > \$40.96


>

\$327.68	D1	Abject Poverty Company (Can be a single person)
\$2,621.44	D2	Relative Poverty Company
\$20,971.52	D3	Small Company

\$167,772.16	D4	Standard Company (Primary Network in Abject Location)
\$1,342,177.28	D5	1st Tear Network (Primary Network in Relative Location)
\$10,737,418.24	D6	2nd Tear Network (Primary Network in Prime Location)
\$85,899,345.92	D7	Virtual Network (For example Villa Secrets LA) (64+ co's)
\$687,194,767.36	D8	Super-Virtual Network (Villa Secrets California) (512+ co's)
And / Or...	D8	Grand Network in Abject (Small Resort Dev.) (4096+ co's)
\$5,497,558,138.88	D9	Super Grand Network in Abject (Large Dev.) (32768+ co's)
\$43,980,465,111.04	D10	BabyPOP Network (16 GN's & SGN's) (262,144+ co's)
And	D10	8 Continental Cubes
\$351,843,720,888.32	D11	Angel City 2 Target (2024) (Once D10 is full it opens D11)
\$2,814,749,767,106.56	D12	Angel City 3 Target (2032) (Only opens if there is no D2)
\$22,517,998,136,852.50	D13	Angel City 4 Target (2048)
\$180,143,985,094,820.00	D14	Angel City 5 POP Target (2080)
\$1,441,151,880,758,560.00	D14	Angel City 5 Turnover Target (2080)

Note that in the same way, we must include the lowest-paid company, D1, where some individuals would for a dollar a day in countries in abject poverty. We must also in D14 account for the maximum market share (being all of GDP) expected in 2080. (Working on an increase in current GDP of 3% a year making \$508 Trillion, which may be increased (but not inflated) by about 180% due to the majesty of the E-TOE & M-Systems.)

How Angel POP works on a macroscale is to create 8 even continental network cubes and restrict investment into the richer cubes once they reach a specific POP point. Once any continental cube generates more than this amount, its POP investment needs to be invested in the cubes that have not reached their POP point. And only once all 8 continental cubes are in POP (are making more than their POP point), does the next dimension open.



This system is the exact opposite of a pyramid scheme, as where a pyramid scheme does not make anything, S-World Networks make everything and are a theory of every business; continually budding into new small owner-led companies, made super-competitive due to the M-Systems, the Super Projects, the E-TOE, and the gravity of the network.



*Instead of a pyramid, we have a cube; and within, a circular butterfly effect as at the end of the journey, we start again from the beginning but **with greater momentum.***

New Text

1. For 75% of shares before splitting in Auditions ideas (Super Projects) 1 to 4 we wish \$ **687,194,767.36** $\times 2 = \$1,374,389,535.72$ in Grand Networks in locations of abject poverty funding.
2. This funding will buy, land, farms, desired industry and resources and in particular building resources such as granite, bricks, aluminium mines and quarries plus gold and other mines in 16 different countries.
3. It would be fair to say that post a successful Grand network opening the value of the land etc. in the area of the Grand Network would be a whole 'D' financial dimension or put simply would rise by a factor of 8.
4. For this **\$1,374,389,535.72** investment/donation the investors will receive **687,194,767.36** worth of 'S-Cubits'
 - a. 1 S-Cubit = \$10,737,418.24
 - b. Thus 64 S-Cubits will be issued, which will be worth (as a gold standard currency) double their face value. Thus, if there were a run on the bank, the bank has more than enough assets to settle all runs.
 - c. The exchange value will in years that the balance of the asset (that will be revalued daily) increases by more than 8% rise by 8% a year, which is close to what some economists say the true inflation rate is in the USA.
 - d. Regardless 8% is a good return
5. The value of the asset balance will rise in the ways written above, from POP Investment of small companies to the profits made by the 'Super Projects'
6. Plus of course, as Grand Networks come online the value of the assets themselves greatly increases
7. The S-World Bond.

I'm not 100% on this yet, but to be able to attract the 2 trillion mentioned by Richard Branson in pensions, to investment banks like Goldman Sacks and governments, we need to make a product that they can sell easily that gets a AAA rating.

So, we create the S-World Bond, priced at 1 Super-Cubit = \$10,737,418.24

We don't need to worry about anything other than the rating I think if it's marked at AAA and it yields 8% (if all assets rise by more than 8%), and after a few years of them doing so and so long as the balance sheet (the assets) are greater than the liabilities (the number of Super-Cubits that can be redeemed). Then Goldman will find a way to sell them, maybe even with an initial loss of 12.5% (which goes to increasing the assets) and if Goldman is buying them then the pensions should buy them and so governments will rightly also wish to get in.

So long as all assets are worth more than the liabilities, all is well,

And so we can genuinely from a macro-economic perspective think that we can after only 4 or 5 successful years from the signing of the 'Audacious Ideas' and our first Super-Cubits created, do the math on the best-case scenario of attracting not one but a handful of trillions of dollars. Which would in a few years' time be worth much more. As S-World becomes massive and all the 'Theory of Every Business and Economic Theory of Everything' points that were prefixed with 'when S-World becomes massive' are enacted.

Many trillions of dollars would afford to build at least 64 Super Grand Network Baby POP systems. And in most cases it only takes one, to completely change a country in abject poverty.

When we have an exceptional growth year, made from assets greatly increasing in value after a grand network is agreed upon, we can offer a dividend as well, but it would be in Network Credits, which are a part of Super-Cubits that are basically a gift voucher or credit voucher that can only be used to buy S-World goods, properties or services, and often within a short period of time, so delaying the RES equation, by turning up the 'S' spin and increasing S-World GDP

From Angel Theory Chapter 1. Part 2 - M-Systems - 6.75-2r (8th Dec 2017)

M-System 10 – The RES Equation – Revenue, Efficiency, Spin (2012 - 2016)

A powerful but simple economic equation that can only be fully effective within a digital economy. Take the initial income of a network (R), measure a company, not from its profit alone but also the

profit made from its expenses (E), optimize E, and Spin (increase the speed of all spending).

10. The RES Equation

$RES > 100\%$

Revenue

Efficiency

Spin

M-System 10 – The RES Equation – Financial Equivalence (2017)

Later, we will talk about S-World UCS MARS Resort 1. Fact or fiction remains to be seen, but on Mars, we can implement the RES equation with 100% Efficiency, which is to say every cent spent is accounted for; whereafter we cut tax and spin creating a supercharged economy unimaginable on earth. We call this 'Financial Equivalence.' Our inspiration: 'the law of conservation of energy.'

RES Equation - Financial Equivalence

With an asset portfolio of over 10 trillion, and rising made of assets in developing countries that would benefit from a global fiat currency crash, in the case of such a crash, the price of the asset portfolio may go down as everything went down, and then recover as the economies of the countries where the assets are boosted, or it may just start going up, more and more as Fiat currency reaches the tipping point as would gold and probably bitcoin, and on that point, I would like to take a large position in Bitcoin and seek to own as much as 50% of the currency by 2040 when it stops being produced.

Personal Note: Don't be afraid to make stupid math mistakes when one is walking, but always write them down after, we saw me go very wrong 3 times earlier but eventually we got there.

When a house is sold, the land is bought from the asset pool and new assets are bought to twice cover the loss.

One may redeem Super Cubits, at any time unless there is far more demand than is usual, in that case, we would have to quickly sell assets, and some assets like a newly started property development need a few years to become valuable. So, in this case we would say when

exchanging a Super Cubit for cash, then it is paid monthly over 4 years, they will always get paid but in monthly chunks.

Now I enter a new phase, the economics phase and I have 2 books to read, first; Capital in the 21st Century by Thomas Piketty, then Misbehaving: The Making of Behavioral Economics by Richard Thaler.

If we did not have the plan to turn land and resources in countries of abject poverty into assets 8 times as valuable, this would not work at all, but as we do, and a big such plan, it's all very effective

I can now finally see a Nobel on the horizon 😊

CHAPTER 1h

S-World Economics

S-World is not built upon the traditional micro or macroeconomic models, which is a good start for any new system. It has mostly been developed via business experiments and simulations and Theory of Everything (M-theory) physics simulations. However, recently, looking at economics has been helping to improve the system.

Peter Schiff's 'The Real Crash: America's Coming Bankruptcy' was a good find, as it made the economic case for American Butterfly's 'Theory of Every Business' (circa 2012) US economic forecasts (more at <http://americanbutterfly.org>).



More recently, Tim Delmastro's documentary 'End of the Road, How Money Became Worthless' helped to explain the dangers of 'fiat currency,' and has now added to the relativity and string theory-influenced systems.


Due to the string theory systems, should the USA join the network en masse and over time the network becomes massive, if hyperinflation hit the USA in say 15 or 20 years, and countries like India boom; M-System 3. 'The Susskind Boost' and M-System 4. 'The Peet Tent' would 'by S-World law' would need to prop up all the troubled S-World USA businesses.

As for relativity, in Chapter 2 inspired by an example given by physicists Brian Cox & Jeff Forshaw's book 'Why Does $E=mc^2$?'; we imagine a landscape with mountains and valleys where the mountains represent rich areas, and the valleys represent areas of abject poverty.



The objective is to grow the mountains and eliminate the valleys, and in general smooth everything out (the equivalent of untangling space-time). By 2080 this still leaves an uneven landscape with big mountains, but in place of the valleys lie flat areas and hills in some cases, and a much fairer and even economy.

Indeed, we can say that this kind of smoothing of general relativity is the technical flagship of Special Project 5. Equality & The Poverty Gap.



However, the knife cuts both ways. If hyperinflation hits the USA and ricochets off the British Pound and Euro and all suffer as a result, it would cause the equivalent of earthquakes (or rips and wormholes in space-time) causing new valleys within the current mountains; which as we are seeking to create a smooth landscape with hills and no valleys, 'Give Half Back' POP Income is destined to fill these new valleys.

As a result, if S-World becomes massive, there are 3 different E-TOE laws that protect the USA and other linked fiat currency beneficiaries that protect the USA and its fiat currency allies, in the case of US dollar hyperinflation.

CHAPTER 1i

S-World Spiritual Economics Roots

But where did this big economic idea begin?



The original reason for classing S-World & M-Systems as economic is that they are a theory of money, that was entered into quite by chance in April 2011. The initial inspiration was from the film treatment I wrote featuring my darling angel Sienna (see above xoxo), written in part to allay any fears the public may have about S-World becoming the equivalent of 'Skynet' (from The Terminator films).

The solution was simple enough: If the S-World Network did become massive and gain sentience, as it was spiritually inspired, created by a father's love to see his daughter again, and it was successful; Sienna would be far less likely to blow us all up than say a military system or other system without a soul.

Indeed, in contrast within the full 'Sienna Project' movie treatment that entangles itself with STAR WARS, Battlestar Galactica, and Lord of the Rings; Sienna goes on to save the entire universe.




How this factors into the economics is via a passage I wrote at the beginning of the treatment:

“Then slowly, Sienna starts to show her father a way to build a supercomputer for her to communicate through.

*The schematics are amazingly detailed, 40 or more highly evolved concepts, combined simultaneously to complete the transition from the 20th Century service-based economy to **the 21st Century Ecological Experience Economy (EEE)**.*”

Soon after writing, the film’s economic and software ambitions were theoretically combined with a virtual world concept which made ‘S-World’ (Sienna’s World), which was then considered as a framework for the networking and software plan we had recently submitted to VIRGIN, in combination as one system.

What came out was a massive theory of money called ‘The Theory of Every Business’; which by the late summer of 2011 attached itself within a giant resort development and city of science in Laconia, Greece; which was set to not only solve Greece’s problems, but in so doing creating positive butterfly effects across the whole EU, and then the world.



However, a few months later after travelling 8,000 miles from Cape Town to Surrey UK, an upsetting meeting with UK Cabinet Minister Chris Grayling saw the entire Eurozone recovery project abandoned. And on the 1st of Jan 2012, I moved the hypothesis to the USA and started work on American Butterfly <http://americanbutterfly.org>.

CHAPTER 1j

American Butterfly Economics

First came 4 months of economic and US construction research, and the notes alone (as seen on S-World.biz) were the length of 4 novels. And within came my much loved ‘Kobayashi Maru GDP Game,’ named after the ‘no win scenario’ made by Spock in Star Trek, which was beaten by Captain Kirk when he reprogrammed the game.

The Kobayashi Maru GDP Game had 13 variables, from Medicare costs to the interest on the debt itself, which showed history back to 2009 and future projections for 2013, 2017, 2021, 2025, 2029 and 2033.

PQS

"Predictive Quantum Software" - The Purple Emperor Edition V1.07

US Economy

Total Debt

Public Debt

Interest

Social Security

Medicare

Medicaid

GDP

Please Input Your Estimates In The Purple Fields

Medicare Cost Calculator

Inflation = 2.80%

Enrolment = 3.33%

Tech Costs = 2.50%

Drugs Cost = 2.50%

TOTAL = 111.13%

Medicaid

2.8%

2.5%

2.5%

100.0%

107.8%

Social Security Costs

Cost of Living Adjustments: 2.8%

Enrolment: 3.3%

100.0%

TOTAL + 106.1%

Interest Rate from 2015: 4.80%

Medicare Costs 2010: \$523

Medicaid Costs 2010: \$406

Medicare Increases By: 111.1%

Medicaid Increases By: 107.8%

Estimated Total Debt Increase Baseline: \$1,500

Estimated Yearly Increase On Borrowing: 104.5%

Public Debt Increase as % Of Total Debt: 100%

GDP Increases from 2012: 104.50%

Social Security Increases By: 106.10%

GDP Predictions

Government Tax Yield Usually Equals 18% GDP

	2009	2013	2017	2021	2025	2029	2033
Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid & Interest:	11.7%	15.3%	18.8%	22.0%	25.6%	29.6%	34.3%
GDP vs Total Debt Ratio:	82.6%	105.4%	122.7%	137.5%	150.0%	160.5%	169.2%

At the bottom of the graphic, the Kobayashi Maru GDP Game plotted...

1. The GDP Debt Ratio (the amount of all goods and services sold vs. the debt of the country)
2. The Cost of Social Security, Medical Liberties, and the Interest on the Debt as a percentage of GDP

(However, for simplicity (13 inputs not 89), I averaged as opposed to applying the actual curve. So, we only consider the 2009 & 2033 Social Security, and Medical Liberties stats.)

Using the default figures, which were (mostly) accurate for 2011, and then continuing with those figures the US GDP Debt Ratio started in 2009 at 82.6% and would rise to 169.2% by 2033; and the cost of Social Security, Medical Liberties, and the Interest on the Debt as a percentage of GDP increased from 11.7% in 2009 up to 34.3% in 2033, whereas the usual tax yield is only 18%; and that before we got there the USA would have to impose massive amounts of austerity, which would, in turn, slow down GDP and could spiral into bankruptcy and hyperinflation.

I considered, if there was a first move to solving the global economy, it would be to make sure the USA does not spiral into bankruptcy.

However, the terrifying thing about the 'Kobayashi Maru GDP Game' was that as you changed the 13 different variables using figures within reason, the USA still failed, slower maybe but still the same eventual result.

At the time, the only way that I could get it to work was by eliminating the Medicare and Medicaid costs. Which of course was cheating, but if Captain Kirk can do it, so could I.

The Theory of Every Business



In theory, the S-World solution was to build 8,192 Grand Networks in the USA, and each had a hospital so that no one was more than 20 miles from care and branch out into pharmaceuticals and medical technology. This is in exchange for the US or state governments reclassifying the land we needed to build the Grand Networks in the first place from agricultural to commercial and residential.

The vehicle behind how we would accomplish this became known as the first American Butterfly book 'The Theory of Every Business,' as all the businesses who constructed or later operated within the Grand Network would be part of the S-World network; and collectively, all would contribute to special projects.

And in this case, a set allocation of funds per year would need to go to Special Project 10. Global Healthcare.

10. Global Healthcare



Having worked out the objective, the project then changed to practicalities and how to build so many Grand Networks, and how each could afford to cover the medical costs.

With a population of 326 million, we divided by 8,192 networks and get just under 40,000 people, which we should increase by 10,000 for population growth in 20 or so years, so 50,000 people. And that using a quick sum, the UK NHS costs \$3,000 per person; then each resort development needs to pay \$150 Million for that sort of service, or \$75 Million if the objective was to cover half of the US liabilities as existing health care can't just stop and is already in all cities.

And note, as always, before going into an actual business, we simulate it in S-World UCS, including

very detailed scenarios for the NHS and other government health systems.



And so, having worked out a solution, the next objective turned into the profitability of a Grand Network whilst factoring in the laws of diminishing returns created by having so many Grand Networks in the first place. And we are still working on that, albeit now the launch is more likely to be in Africa.

President Barack Obama

Fortunately, given that it's taken 6 more years to create the inner working of the S-World network, President Barack Obama and his economic team had their own ideas about the same problem. For sure they probably had their own version of the 'Kobayashi Maru GDP Game.'



In 'Obama's Game,' he made 2 bold changes; lowering borrowing by half a trillion a year to an average of \$900 billion and he lowered interest rates to 0.2%. And it's amazing, the effect in just 2 years, changing the status quo from a predicted 105.4% GDP debt ratio to 98.7%, whereafter it grew at 1.5% a year.

The result of this is that the USA in 2017 is looking at a GDP debt ratio of 105.7% in place of the forecast 122.7%, a great result given the starting conditions. Albeit the lowering of interest rates can cause other problems.

PQS: "Predictive Quantum Software" - The Purple Emperor Edition V1.08 (2016 Figures)

"The Kobayashi Maru GDP GAME" Obama Wins!!!

US Economy
Total Debt
Public Debt
Interest
Social Security
Medicare
Medicaid
GDP

Please Input Your
Estimates In
The Purple Fields

Medicare Cost Calculator		Medicaid
Inflation =	2.80%	2.8%
Enrolment =	3.33%	
Tech Costs =	2.50%	2.5%
Drugs Cost =	2.50%	2.5%
	100.0%	100.0%
TOTAL =	111.13%	107.8%

Social Security Costs	
Cost of Living Adjustments:	2.8%
Enrolment:	3.3%
	100.0%
TOTAL +	106.1%

Interest Rate from 2017:	1.2%	Estimated Total Debt Increase Baseline:	\$900
Medicare Costs 2010:	\$523	Estimated Yearly Increase On Borrowing:	100%
Medicaid Costs 2010:	\$406	Public Debt Increase as % Of Total Debt:	100%
Medicare Increases By:	111.1%	GDP Increases from 2017:	104.25%
Medicaid Increases By:	107.8%	Social Security Increases By:	106.10%

GDP Predictions


Government Tax Yield Usually Equals 18% GDP

	2009	2013	2017	2021	2025	2029	2033
Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, Interest on PD:	11.7%	12.3%	15.6%	18.2%	21.0%	24.1%	27.9%
GDP Debt Ratio:	82.6%	98.7%	105.7%	105.3%	101.0%	93.9%	86.2%

As for the cost of Social Security, Medical Liberties, and the Interest on the Debt as a percentage of GDP, I have not remade these figures as accurate medical figures are hard to find. And so, the 15.6% in 2017 is wrong and should not be considered other than the obvious. With an ageing population seeing people live 20 even 30 years longer, that's going to increase the medical liabilities and social security payments a lot.

And in this case, the American Butterfly medical liabilities plan would still be a lifesaver, especially now that thanks to President Obama's last term, the need is now less. And that if all went to plan, covering just half of the medical liabilities plus the extra payroll and income tax contributions from all S-World personnel and companies would be enough to put the USA on the right path, so long as politicians don't find new ways to spend the money.


Reducing borrowing and creating an annual surplus year after year will go a long way to avoid the problems highlighted in the documentary: 'End of the Road, How Money Became Worthless.'

CHAPTER 1k**Not The End of The Road**

In 'The Real Crash: America's Coming Bankruptcy - How to Save Yourself and Your Country' by Peter Schiff and Tim Delmastro's documentary 'End of the Road, How Money Became Worthless'; Delmastro, Schiff, and other respected economists and financial experts named below tell of the end of Gold-backed US currency on August 15th 1971, and however since the USD and the world entered into a fiat-based currency system (where money could be printed at will) effectively creating a global dollar-based Ponzi scheme that when it ends could (and in fact always has in history) create hyperinflation as was last seen in Zimbabwe in 2008.

The Cast of; End of the Road, How Money Became Worthless

- Adam Fergusson Author, When Money Dies
- Mike Maloney Hidden Secrets of Money
- Bill Murphy Gold Anti-Trust Action Committee
- Jim Puplava Puplava Financial Services
- James Rickards Tangent Capital Partners
- Dimitri Speck Author, Secret Gold Policy
- Eric Sprott Sprott Asset Management
- James Turk Director, GoldMoney Foundation



At the end of the documentary, Schiff informs us that US Hyperinflation may not be the end of the world, or even the end of America, and could be a good thing, creating accelerated economics in places like India.

Currently, in terms of Grand Networks, the first business target/objective of S-World is to make profitable M-System 1. S-World TBS™ virtual networks in California and across the US, and twin them with Grand Networks in Malawi, Zimbabwe, Southern India or other suitable locations in abject poverty or economic distress; and see most of the 'Give Half Back' POP investment created in California, the rest of the US, and other Western locations toward these new Grand Network ventures.

What follows is a very quick look into the 'Grand Networks' per the E-TOE (as is described in full in Chapter 2).

The POP Train




POP Trains and the SUSY Hierarchal Spin Equalizers

Once started, Grand Networks create a 'Give Half Back' POP Train. When investing in a POP train, all the POP investment (half the profit) from the first Grand Network flows into the second (and we call the object that the overflow falls into a 'bucket'). Once bucket 2 is full, both Grand Networks 1 and 2 combine to fill 'bucket 3.' And once bucket 3 is full, networks 1, 2, & 3 combine to fill 'bucket 4.' After which new networks are created annually and the network grows until we have created 16 Grand Networks.




Once this process is complete, we apply the grandly titled 'SUSY Hierarchal Spin Equaliser' which twins the most and least profitable of the 16 Grand Networks into 8 twinned networks, and in so doing creating similar and predictable profits and POP investment, as is seen in the following graphic.


Below at the top, we see that a forecast for 16 different Grand Networks can be a hit-or-miss affair. The beginning networks with tenders will do very well, but from that point onwards, it was a bit of a lottery. And at the bottom, we see how by twining the strongest and weakest we create a far more even landscape.




Orlando String



Florida Networks



Weakest joins Strongest



Entangled Orlando String



The Baby POP boat, as we just saw displayed as a giant cruise liner under the POP train, is the POP investment of a BabyPOP Network after all 16 Grand Networks have achieved their POP points.

We saw earlier in the Angel POP dimensions that this currently would be at least \$5.5 billion but could be a lot more. This is the Baby POP boat ready to reinvest into the original BabyPOP network, bringing all Grand Networks to Super Grand status.

But importantly, if the US and the West had originally invested in the developments and had since hyperinflated, we can see 'The Boats' of all the BabyPOP networks (originally in countries of abject poverty) now moved out of abject poverty sailing to support its original founders/investors.

It takes quite some imagining that, in the future, Lake Malawi would be propping up S-World business in LA, or San Francisco. But if hyperinflation happens, economies such as Lake Malawi and other grand networks in locations of abject poverty will be the ones that benefit most.



The Baby POP Boats are philanthropic as they will take 'Give Half Back' POP investment to where it is needed most, be that continuing to grow at maximum pace in their parent country, or if the West has financially crashed and is in turmoil, BabyPOP boats will sail to its aid.

But with this said, it would be far better if the West did not crash, as only in the Boat reinventing into the BabyPOP network do we have the opportunity to create quality housing for most of the population in a country such as Malawi and increase their GDP more than tenfold.

CHAPTER 11

Make Money not Currency

For a long time, under the hood of S-World has been the idea of Network Credits, or as is also known 'Planck Cubits,' which is the theorised currency of the network which has not been developed for two reasons.

One, it is illegal to create a new currency in the USA. And two, being the physics of it, as I wished the currency to be relative to a universal constant but have not yet picked one. Currently, Planck's constant is considered, but it could be the Planck Length, or it could be the speed of light, in which case it would be Einstein's Cubits.

10. The RES Equation

$$\text{RES} > 100\%$$

Revenue
Efficiency
Spin

More complexly still, such a currency would have a different approach to inflation, seeking to flatline and artificially correct variations by clever use of the RES (Revenue x Efficiency x Spin) equation that can speed up (to inflate) or slow down (to deflate) inflation. And whilst this is a tactic from MARS Resort 1, it can work on our planet if we can achieve a good 'E' for efficiency throughout the network (E is the profit of a company, all profit made from its staff's general spending and all its supplier's profit).

RES Equation - Financial Equivalence

This I am happy to leave for now, as an idea that the physicists, economists, business and moneymen can brainstorm for an S-World solution. But without a doubt, it seems best that any such currency is backed with assets that can be redeemed for cash, such as gold. However, I would suggest a mixed portfolio of some gold (kept in our own central bank) but also infrastructures such as dams or the solar arrays needed for each resort network, and resources needed in building such as granite quarries or metals.

In this regard, one idea is to have 25% of income from S-World TBS™ (all small businesses and maybe as much again from Super Projects) applied to The Sienna Foundation or other S-World entity, which would use the money to buy assets to back the currency and buy cleverly. So, for instance, if there was a granite mine near a new resort development in planning, it would buy it as an asset.

Before leaving economics, there were a lot of other nuggets of wisdom in; The Real Crash by Peter Schiff, from the founding father's low taxation model to which are the likely future economic winners.

The Real Crash by Peter Schiff

It's mathematically impossible to fully implement such ideas into current US economics, it's just not going to happen what with politics et al. Most likely, change will only come via necessity; after, there is no choice.

However, as an economic guidebook to start the new S-World economy, within the E-TOE framework, Schiff and the other money professionals' previously mentioned ideas can leave a hypothesis and can become a reality. And instead of predicting, they will be shaping it, per our oldest slogan by Isaac Asimov's prescription:

"You may not predict what an individual may do, but you can put in motion things that will move the masses in a direction that is desired, thus shaping if not predicting the future."

POP Origins - Isaac Asimov

You may not predict what an individual may do,
but you can put in motion things that will move the masses
in a direction that is desired, thus shaping if not predicting the future.

Add Earlier somewhere

"Keen says macroeconomics does not include money and so it would be irresponsible to create another form of economics that does not have a plan for when it becomes massive when it becomes an economic theory of everything and because we must look at the optimum picture of successes and have a macroeconomic plan of money. Often people warn against presenting high

30 figures in financial plans, however, when working on a hypothesis for a fresh economic perspective such figures are wholly necessary.”